

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,610	01/27/2004	Gregory J. LaRosa	1855.1052-029	3808
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 08/27/2007 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 102	2	BOESEN, AGNIESZKA		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/766,610		LAROSA ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Agnieszka Boesen	1648	

	Agnieszka Boesen	1648						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	sliance with 27 CER 44 27 must be	filed within two month	an of the date of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			Coause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			•					
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:		•						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,16,18-22 and 66-85</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4,8,10 and 12</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate of the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other: Note the attached Interview Summary.								

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

In Response of 12/18/2006 Applicant amended the claims to delete the elected and examined inventions and recite the non-elected inventions. The sequnces of SEQ ID NO: 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 97, 98 and 107 recited in the claims have not been searched or examined. In response of August 13, 2007, the Applicant states that a request for continued examination has been filed in order to examine the sequences recited in the claims. However Applicant failed to file the RCE. The amendment is not entered because it raises new issues that require further search and consideration.

/Stacy B. Chen/ 8-23-2007 Primary Examiner, TC1600